Snack Food and Beverage Interventions in Schools

Summary Evidence Table

Abbreviations Used in This Document:

- **Outcomes:**
  - SSB: sugar sweetened beverage
- **Measurement terms**
  - BMI: body mass index
  - CI: confidence interval
  - d: day
  - serv: servings
- **Other terms:**
  - f/u: follow-up
  - NA: not applicable
  - NR: not reported
  - NS: not significant
  - SES: socioeconomic status
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Author, Year:** Alaimo, 2013 (nutrition policy only arm)  
**Study Design:** Other design with concurrent comparison  
**Suitability of Design:** Greatest  
**Quality of Execution:** Fair | **Study population:** 7th and 8th graders  
**Sample size:** 18 schools | **Location (urbanicity):** Michigan (mixed)  
**Intervention activities:** competitive food policy + nutrition education + marketing  
Healthy food and beverage policy implemented in 'a la carte lines at each school. Each school submitted a plan. Each school also implemented nutrition education and marketing. | **Fruit (cups/d)**  
Regression Coefficient: 0.17, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.32  
**Vegetables (cups/d)**  
Regression Coefficient: -0.03; 95% CI: -0.14, 0.07  
**Paper conclusions:** New USDA nutrition standards for a` la carte and vending will likely increase the healthfulness of middle school children’s diets. |
| **Author, Year:** Blum, 2008  
**Study Design:** Prospective cohort  
**Suitability of Design:** Greatest  
**Quality of Execution:** Fair | **Study population:** Targeted all high school students, results for 9th-11th grade  
**Sample size:** 2,616  
**Demographics:**  
Age: NR  
Gender: 67% female  
Race/Ethnicity: 97.8% white  
SES: NR | **Location (urbanicity):** 6 counties through southern and central, Maine (mixed)  
**Intervention activities:** competitive foods policy  
Schools reduced availability of SSB and diet soda in a la carte and vending programs | **100% Fruit Juice Intake (serv/d)**  
Girls  
Intervention: baseline: 0.85 f/u: 0.72  
Control: baseline: 0.85 f/u: 0.93  
Summary Effect: -0.21 serv/d, p<0.05  
Boys  
Intervention: baseline: 0.68 f/u: 0.73  
Control: baseline: 1.00 f/u: 1.00  
Summary Effect: 0.05 serv/d, NS  
**SSB Intake (serv/d)**  
Girls  
Intervention: baseline: 0.79 f/u: 0.69  
Control: baseline: 0.82 f/u: 0.70  
Summary Effect: 0.02 serv/d, NS  
Boys |
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**Summary Evidence Table – School Obesity, Snack Food and Beverages Interventions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Study population:</strong> Targeted Boston Public High school students</td>
<td><strong>Location (urbanicity):</strong> Boston, MA (urban)</td>
<td><strong>Intervention activities:</strong> Competitive foods policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sample size:</strong> 895</td>
<td><strong>Sugar Sweetened Beverage (serv/d)</strong> Baseline: 1.38 Follow-up: 1.38 <strong>Adjusted Summary Effect:</strong> -0.30 serv/d, p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Demographics:</strong> Age: 9th grade: 40%; 10th grade: 29%; 11th grade: 25%; 12th grade: 7% Gender: 55% female Race/Ethnicity: Non-Hispanic White: 11%; Black/African/Cape Verdean/Caribbean: 43%</td>
<td><strong>Non-diet Soda (serv/d)</strong> Baseline: 0.81 Follow-up: 0.63 <strong>Adjusted Summary Effect:</strong> -0.16 serv/d, p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Milk Intake (serv/d)**
  - **Girls**
    - Intervention: baseline: 1.11 f/u: 1.14
    - Control: baseline: 1.44 f/u: 1.32
    - **Summary Effect:** 0.15 serv/d, NS
  - **Boys**
    - Intervention: baseline: 1.77 f/u: 1.96
    - Control: baseline: 2.10 f/u: 1.73
    - **Summary Effect:** 0.46 serv/d, p<0.05

**Paper conclusions:** Reducing availability of SSB in schools did not result in a greater decrease in SSB consumption by intervention as compared to control subjects. The impact of reducing availability of SSB at school may be limited.

**Author, Year:** Cradock, 2011

**Study Design:** Repeat cross sectional

**Suitability of Design:** Least

**Quality of Execution:** Good
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennessy, 2014 (data entered)</td>
<td>Hispanic/Latino: 31%; Asian/Pacific Islander: 9%; Other/multiracial: 6% SES: 74% of Boston Public High School students qualify for free or reduced priced lunch</td>
<td>Beverage guidelines specifically precluded the sale of soft drinks, fruit drinks (i.e., non–100% vegetable or fruit juice beverages), and sports drinks anywhere in school buildings or on school campuses and had specifications that limited other beverage serving sizes.</td>
<td><strong>Paper conclusions:</strong> Data from Boston youth indicate that significant reductions in sugar-sweetened beverage intake coincide with a policy change that restricted the sale of sugar-sweetened beverages in public high schools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Author, Year:** Hennessy, 2014 (data entered)  
**Study Design:** Cross-sectional with comparison group  
**Suitability of Design:** Least  
**Quality of Execution:** Fair  

**Study population:** children 11-14 yrs (middle school)  
**Sample size:** 16,271  
**Demographics:**  
- Mean age: healthy weight (HW): 12.7 yrs; obese/overweight (O): 12.5 yrs  
- Gender: HW: 53.2% female; O: 46.1% female  
- Race/ethnicity:  
  - White HW: 63.6% O: 46.7%  
  - Black HW: 13.6% O: 21.1%  
  - Hispanic HW: 14.9% O: 25.1%  
  - Other HW: 8.0% O: 7.2%  
- SES: poverty level, % federal poverty level  
  - 0-99 HW: 12.7% O: 22.3%  
  - 100-199 HW: 19.0% O: 24.9%  
  - 200-399 HW: 33.9% O: 34.8%  
  - >400 HW: 34.4% O: 17.9%  

**Location (urbanicity):** Nationwide  
**Intervention activities:** States were classified based on the Classification of Laws Associated with School Students (CLASS) database of state codified law(s) relevant to school nutrition. States were classified as having strong or weak competitive food laws in 2005 based on strength and comprehensiveness.  

**Comparison:** states with no laws  
**Study Period:** Laws had to go into place by Dec 31, 2005; data collected from April 2007-July 2008  

**Overweight/obesity prevalence**  
- Weak law OR: 1.23 (1.1, 1.4)  
- Strong law OR: 1.01 (0.8, 1.3)  

**Paper conclusions:** Children living in states with weak competitive food laws for middle schools had over a 20% higher odds of being overweight or obese than children living in states with either no or strong school competitive food laws. State-level school competitive food and beverage laws merit attention with efforts to address the childhood obesity epidemic. Attention to the specificity and requirements of these laws should also be considered.
<table>
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</thead>
</table>
| Jones, 2009 (uses same data and outcomes as Taber, 2012) | **Study population:** 5th grade students  
**Sample size:** 10,719 children  
**Demographics:** Mean age: 9-13 yr olds  
Gender: NR  
Race/ethnicity: NR  
SES: NR | **Location (urbanicity):** nationwide  
**Intervention activities:** examined availability of different beverages and purchase of sweetened beverages at school and overall consumption of beverages.  
**Comparison:** SSB available vs SSB not available | **SSB Consumption**  
Consumed < 1 SSB/d (available and purchased)  
OR: 2.97, p<0.001  
Consumer 1 or more SSB/d (available and purchased)  
OR: 3.25, p<0.001  
**Paper conclusions:** A policy of availability of sweetened beverages makes an independent contribution to children’s purchase and consumption of sweetened beverages in the 5th grade year. |
| Author, Year: Palakshappa  
Study Design: repeat cross-sectional  
Suitability of Design: Least  
Quality of Execution: Fair | **Study population:** children 10-17 yrs (elementary, middle and high school)  
**Sample size:** 40,177  
**Demographics:** Mean age: 13.6 yrs  
Gender: 48.85% female  
Race/ethnicity: White 67.5%; Black 15.9%, Hispanic 19.6%; other 16.7%  
SES: 0-99% FPL 18.6%  
100-199% FPL 20.8%  
200-399% FPL 29.5%  
400% or greater FPL 31.1%  
Other: public school 90%; private school 10% | **Location (urbanicity):** nationwide  
**Intervention activities:** Examined 2010 laws using the Classification of Laws Associated with School Students, which grades the strength of state laws (none, weak, or strong). The authors examined the association between the strength of laws and weight.  
**Comparison:** NA  
**Study Period:** 2003-2004 | **Obesity Prevalence**  
**Elementary School**  
4 or more strong laws OR: 0.57 (0.34, 0.97)  
2-3 strong laws OR: 0.57 (0.36, 0.90)  
**Middle and High School**  
4 or more strong laws: No change  
2-3 strong laws: No change  
**Paper conclusions:** Although further research is needed to determine the causal effect of these laws, this study suggests that strong state laws limiting the sale and advertising of unhealthy |
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<th>Study</th>
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</table>
| **Author, Year**  
Schwartz, 2009 | **Study population:** middle school students  
**Sample size:** Pre n=501, Post n=495  
**Demographics**  
(Intervention/Comparison):  
Age: middle school students;  
Gender: NR  
Race/Ethnicity: Black I: 8.5%; C: 21.1%  
White I: 63.2%; C: 50.4%; Asian American I: 3.4%; C: 4.6%;  
American Indian I: 0.3%;  
C:0.06%; Hispanic I: 24.6%;  
C:23.8%  
SES: Eligible free/reduced lunch I: 33.0%; C: 37.0% | **Location (urbanicity):** State of Connecticut (mixed)  
**Intervention activities:** Competitive foods policy  
**Intervention activities:** middle schools adhered to snack guidelines for foods sold at school during school day (i.e., cafeteria a la carte, vending, and fundraisers)  
**Study Period:** Pretest: Spring 2006, Posttest: Spring 2007  
**Comparison:** usual snacks. | **Sweet drinks (4-point scale score)**  
Intervention: baseline: 1.95, f/u: 1.80  
Control: baseline: 2.0, f/u: 2.1  
**Summary Effect:** -0.25  
**Sweet Snacks (4-point scale score)**  
Intervention: baseline: 2.0, f/u: 1.9  
Control: baseline: 1.9, f/u: 1.9  
**Summary Effect:** -0.10  
**Salty Snacks (4-point scale score)**  
Intervention: baseline: 2.6, f/u: 2.4  
Control: baseline: 2.4, f/u: 2.5  
**Summary Effect:** -0.20  
**100% fruit juice and bottled water combined (4-point scale score)**  
Intervention: baseline: 1.9, f/u: 2.4  
Control: baseline: 2.0, f/u: 2.1  
**Summary Effect:** 0.40  
**Paper conclusions:** this study provides support for removing foods that do not meet nutrition standards from schools as a public health policy intervention. Overall, students in the intervention schools ate snacks of higher nutritional value at school than students in the comparison schools. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author, Year: Taber, 2012a (Weight Status Among Adolescents in States That Govern Competitive Food Nutrition Content)</td>
<td><strong>Study population:</strong> 5th and 8th grade studies in 40 states within the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study – Kindergarten Class</td>
<td><strong>Location (urbanicity):</strong> 40 US states (mixed)</td>
<td><strong>Obesity prevalence (%)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Beta coefficient weak laws: -0.8, p=0.40&lt;br&gt;Beta coefficient strong laws: 0.0, p=0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Design: Before-After</td>
<td><strong>Sample size:</strong> 6,300</td>
<td><strong>Intervention activities:</strong> competitive foods policy</td>
<td><strong>Overweight prevalence (%)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Beta coefficient weak laws: -4.5, p = 0.001&lt;br&gt;Beta coefficient strong laws: -2.8, p = 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suitability of Design: Least</td>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong>&lt;br&gt;Age: 5th and 8th grade students&lt;br&gt;Gender: 49.8% female&lt;br&gt;Race/Ethnicity: 58.9% White; 11.9% Black; 18.5% Hispanic; 10.7% other&lt;br&gt;SES: NR&lt;br&gt;Overweight: 40.1% 5th grade; 37.4% 8th grade; Obese:22.3% 5th grade; 20.3% 8th grade</td>
<td>Examined state competitive food laws using the Classification of Laws Associated with School Students criteria. States were classified as having weak or strong laws.</td>
<td><strong>BMI Z-score</strong>&lt;br&gt;Beta coefficient weak laws: -0.39, p=0.001&lt;br&gt;Beta coefficient strong laws: -0.10, p=0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Execution: Fair</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Study Period:</strong> 2003-2006</td>
<td><strong>Paper conclusions:</strong> Laws that regulate competitive food nutrition content may reduce adolescent BMI change if they are comprehensive, contain strong language, and are enacted across grade levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Author, Year: Taber, 2012b (Banning All Sugar-Sweetened Beverages in Middle Schools) | **Study population:** 5th and 8th grade studies in 40 states within the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study – Kindergarten Class | **Location (urbanicity):** 40 US states (mixed) | **Daily consumption SSB**<br>Prevalence Difference for schools that ban soda: 2.3, 95% CI: -1.4, 6.0<br>Prevalence Difference for schools that ban all SSB: 5.7, 95% CI: 0.6, 11.1 |
| Study Design: Other Design with Concurrent Comparison | **Sample size:** 6,900 | **Intervention activities:** competitive foods policy | **Paper conclusions:** Daily consumption of SSB was more prevalent in states that banned all SSB than in states with a soda ban. |
| Suitability of Design: Greatest | **Demographics**<br>Age: 5th and 8th grade students<br>Gender: 49.9% female<br>Race/Ethnicity: 58.8% White; 12.0% Black; 18.4% Hispanic; 10.9% other<br>SES: 18.8% below poverty line | States were classified based on limiting all SSB or soda<br>1. ban all SSB: policy limiting the availability of soda and other SSBs (only allowing milk, water, and 100% juice in school)<br>2. ban soda: policy prohibiting soda but no policy limiting the availability of other SSBs (allowing milk, water, }
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of Execution</strong>: Fair</td>
<td><strong>Study population</strong>: high school students</td>
<td>energy drinks, and electrolyte replacement beverages</td>
<td><strong>Caloric Intake (kcal/d)</strong>: California: 1629.6 kcal/d Other states: 1787.3 kcal/d <strong>Adjusted difference</strong>: -157.8 kcal/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Author, Year</strong>: Taber, 2012c (Differences in Nutrient Intake Associated With State Laws Regarding Fat, Sugar, and Caloric Content of Competitive Foods)</td>
<td><strong>Sample size</strong>: 680</td>
<td><strong>Location (urbanicity)</strong>: California and national (urban, suburban, rural)</td>
<td><strong>Total Sugar Intake (g/d)</strong>: California: 96.5 g/d Other states: 114.4 g/d <strong>Adjusted difference</strong>: -17.9 g/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Design</strong>: post-only with comparison</td>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong>: Mean age: 15.0 years Gender: 55.8% female Race/ethnicity: 11.7% White, 1.0% Black, 76.6% Hispanic, 10.8% other</td>
<td><strong>Intervention activities</strong>: California State Senate Bill 12, which required several nutrition standards for competitive foods at all grade levels, including limiting calories, fat, saturated fat, and sugar content of snacks sold in vending machines, schools stores, and cafeterias, and California State Senate Bill 965, which banned the sale of soda and other sweetened beverages in high schools.</td>
<td><strong>Total Fat Intake (g)</strong>: California: 60.9 g/d Other states: 67.1 g/d <strong>Adjusted difference</strong>: -6.2 g/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suitability of Design</strong>: Least</td>
<td><strong>Control</strong>: Mean age: 15.2 years Gender: 51.2% female Race/ethnicity: 43.5% White, 33.8% Black, 14.7% Hispanic, 8.1% other</td>
<td><strong>Comparison</strong>: 14 states with weak or no laws on competitive foods</td>
<td><strong>Servings soda/week</strong>: Soda allowed (vending): 5.2 serv/wk, NS Soda banned (vending): 5.4 serv/wk, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of Execution</strong>: Good</td>
<td><strong>SES</strong>: NR</td>
<td><strong>Study Period</strong>: February – May 2010</td>
<td><strong>Paper conclusions</strong>: We found that students tended to consume more sports drinks, energy drinks, coffee/tea, and other SSBs if they resided in a state that...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Author, Year</strong>: Taber, 2015 The association between state bans on soda only and adolescent substitution with</td>
<td><strong>Study population</strong>: 9th-12th grade students</td>
<td><strong>Location (urbanicity)</strong>: 27 states</td>
<td><strong>Servings soda/week</strong>: Soda allowed (vending): 5.2 serv/wk, NS Soda banned (vending): 5.4 serv/wk, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td><strong>Sample size</strong>: 8,696</td>
<td><strong>Intervention activities</strong>: Student data on consumption of various SSBs and in-school access to vending machines that sold SSBs were obtained from the National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study</td>
<td><strong>Paper conclusions</strong>: We found that students tended to consume more sports drinks, energy drinks, coffee/tea, and other SSBs if they resided in a state that...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Population Characteristics</td>
<td>Intervention Characteristics</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| other sugar sweetened beverages: a cross-sectional study | 11th grade 24.6%; 12th grade 23.4%  
Gender: 49.5% female  
Race/ethnicity: White 58.1%; Black 14.9%; Hispanic 18.4%; Other 8.6%  
SES: Overweight/Obese: overweight 18.1% obese 19.2% | (NYPANS). Student data were linked to state laws regarding the sale of soda in school.  
**Comparison:** NA  
**Study Period:** 2010 | only banned soda in schools.  
Interestingly, SSB consumption was not elevated if both schools and states took action to reduce SSB access – i.e., states banned soda and schools did not offer vending machines. |
| **Study Design:** post-only with comparison |                                                                                           | **Location (urbanicity):** mixed  
**Intervention activities:** state level competitive food policy  
**Comparison:** district level competitive food policy  
**Study Period:** 2010-12 |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| **Suitability of Design:** Least        |                                                                                           | **Paper conclusions:** These analyses indicate state policy focused on regular soda strongly affected school soda availability, and worked through changes in school availability to decrease soda consumption among African American students, but not the overall population. |
| **Quality of Execution:** Good          |                                                                                           |                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| **Author, Year:** Terry McElrath, 2015   | Study population: high school students  
Sample size: 7,877  
Demographics:  
Mean age: NR  
Gender: 51.5% female  
Race/ethnicity: 59.5% white, 10.2% black, 13.9% Hispanic, 13.1% other  
SES: mixed |                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| **Study Design:** Cross-sectional with comparison |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| **Suitability of Design:** Least        |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| **Quality of Execution:** Fair          |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                             |
| **Author, Year:** Wordell, 2012          | Study population: 7th and 8th graders  
Sample size: 2,000  
Demographics  
Age: 7th and 8th grade students  
Gender: 49% female  
Race/Ethnicity: >90% white  
SES: FRPL: I; 2 schools, 71.3% and 46.2% | Location (urbanicity): mid-sized city in Washington state, US (NR)  
**Intervention activities:** competitive foods policy  
Schools allowed only bottled water in vending machines, only milk and fruit on à la carte menus, and offered a seasonal fruit and vegetable bar. | Fruit (serv/wk)  
OR In school: 1.1, p=0.56  
OR Out of school: 0.94, p=0.58  
Vegetables (serv/wk)  
OR In school: 1.1, p=0.56  
OR Out of school: 0.94, p=0.58  
Milk (serv/wk)  
OR In school: 0.97, p=0.77  
OR Out of school: 1.2, p = 0.04 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th>Intervention Characteristics</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C: 2 schools, 81.1% and 37.6%</td>
<td><strong>Comparison</strong>: school district wellness policy (both intervention and control groups) eliminated sugared beverages and only allowed 100% juice products and flavored non-energy-providing water; competitive à la carte items limited to 250 kcal and 9 g fat. <strong>Study Period</strong>: fall 07/08 thru 08/09 and 09/10 school years</td>
<td><strong>Sweet drinks (serv/wk)</strong>&lt;br&gt;OR In school: 0.87, p=0.77&lt;br&gt;OR Out of school: 0.94, p=0.64</td>
<td><strong>Any juice (serv/wk)</strong>&lt;br&gt;OR In school: 0.73, p=0.02&lt;br&gt;OR Out of school: 0.82, p=0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chips (serv/wk)</strong>&lt;br&gt;OR In school: 0.9, p=0.41&lt;br&gt;OR Out of school: 1.2, p=0.29</td>
<td><strong>Chips (serv/wk)</strong>&lt;br&gt;OR In school: 1.0, p=0.88&lt;br&gt;OR Out of school: 1.0, p=0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pastries (serv/wk)</strong>&lt;br&gt;OR In school: 0.4, p=0.00&lt;br&gt;OR Out of school: 1.4, p = 0.06</td>
<td><strong>Pastries (serv/wk)</strong>&lt;br&gt;OR In school: 0.4, p=0.00&lt;br&gt;OR Out of school: 1.4, p = 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Paper conclusions</strong>: Overall, there was a positive, though modest, association between a modified school food environment and student food behavior in and outside of school.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>