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Review Summary

Intervention Definition
Statewide or community-wide sealant promotion programs encourage sealant use among private practitioners and through community-based programs (often including school-based programs). Program activities can include:

- Continuing education courses for dental health professionals
- Educational campaigns for consumers, community leaders, and third-party payers
- Efforts to promote school-based or school-linked sealant delivery programs

Summary of Task Force Finding
The Community Preventive Services Task Force finds insufficient evidence to determine the effectiveness of statewide or community-wide programs in increasing the use of dental sealants or reducing tooth decay in communities because of limitations in study design and execution.

Results from the Systematic Review
Only one study was found that examined the effectiveness of statewide or community-wide programs. This study did not provide enough evidence to determine whether or not statewide or community-wide programs that promote the use of dental sealants work to prevent cavities.

These results were based on a systematic review of all available studies, conducted on behalf of the Task Force by a team of specialists in systematic review methods, and in research, practice and policy related to oral health.

Publications


**Intervention Definition**

Statewide or community-wide sealant promotion programs encourage sealant use among private practitioners and through community-based programs. Program activities include continuing education courses for dental health professionals; educational campaigns for consumers, community leaders, and third-party payers; and efforts to promote school-based or school-linked sealant delivery programs. Statewide or community-wide sealant promotion programs aim to increase public and professional awareness of the health benefits of sealants, encourage third-party reimbursement for sealant application, increase appropriate use of sealants by practitioners, and increase access to sealants for disadvantaged populations who might not get them otherwise (e.g., through school-based programs).

**Task Force Finding (February 2000)**

The one available study that evaluated a statewide sealant promotion campaign provided insufficient evidence to assess the program’s effectiveness in changing public or professional behavior or in reducing dental caries statewide. The evidence was insufficient because of limitations in study design and execution, which did not allow valid attribution of reported changes in sealant use to the intervention.

*From the following publication:
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Analytic Framework

Evidence Gaps

What are Evidence Gaps?
Each Community Preventive Services Task Force (Task Force) review identifies critical evidence gaps—areas where information is lacking. Evidence gaps can exist whether or not a recommendation is made. In cases when the Task Force finds insufficient evidence to determine whether an intervention strategy works, evidence gaps encourage researchers and program evaluators to conduct more effectiveness studies. When the Task Force recommends an intervention, evidence gaps highlight missing information that would help users determine if the intervention could meet their particular needs. For example, evidence may be needed to determine where the intervention will work, with which populations, how much it will cost to implement, whether it will provide adequate return on investment, or how users should structure or deliver the intervention to ensure effectiveness. Finally, evidence may be missing for outcomes different from those on which the Task Force recommendation is based.

Identified Evidence Gaps

Community water fluoridation (CWF)
Most of the evidence indicates that CWF is safe and effective in reducing dental caries in communities. However, important research questions with practical applications remain unanswered, including:

- What is the effectiveness of laws, policies, and incentives to encourage communities to start or continue water fluoridation?
- What is the effectiveness of CWF in reducing socioeconomic or racial and ethnic disparities in caries burden?
- What is the effectiveness of CWF among adults (aged >18 years)?
- What, if any, are the effects of the increasing use of bottled water and in-home water filtration systems (which may not be fluoridated or may remove fluoride, respectively) on the benefits gained through CWF?
- How effective is CWF in preventing root-surface caries?

School-based or school-linked pit and fissure sealant delivery programs
The evidence is clear and convincing that sealants delivered through schools and school-affiliated clinics are safe and effective in preventing dental caries among children. Important research questions yet to be answered include:

- What is the effect of sealant delivery programs among adults aged >18 years (e.g., military recruits)?
- How do state dental practice laws and regulations affect use of sealants in school-based programs?
- How do school district oral health policies and curricula affect use of sealants?
- What is the effectiveness of sealants in primary teeth?
Statewide or community-wide sealant promotion programs

The available evidence of the effectiveness of statewide or community-wide sealant promotion programs was insufficient to support a recommendation by the Task Force. Therefore, research in the following areas is a high priority:

- What is the effect of public education on awareness, community mobilization (through coalitions), and resource allocation for sealant promotion?
- What is the effect of professional education, combined with provider reminders and other system-oriented strategies, on knowledge, skills, and appropriate use of sealants?
- What is the effect of insurance coverage and managed care plans on access to and use of sealants?
- How cost effective are models of sealant delivery other than school based?

Ecologic Approaches Using Multiple Interventions with Many Targets of Change

Research on ecologic approaches in various settings might involve multiple interventions with many targets of change and desirable health. Estimates of effectiveness might focus on increase in knowledge, behavioral intentions, and behaviors in the short term and the desirable health outcomes mentioned above in the long term. Questions such as the following need to be answered:

- What is the effect on several oral health outcomes of community-wide interventions that combine environmental change, legislative action, policy change, and social support within families to encourage behavior change?
- What is the effect on several oral health outcomes of community development coalitions, partnerships, mass media advocacy, and social marketing?
- What is the effect on several oral health outcomes of multicomponent interventions in selected settings?

Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions on this page are those of the Community Preventive Services Task Force and do not necessarily represent those of CDC. Task Force evidence-based recommendations are not mandates for compliance or spending. Instead, they provide information and options for decision makers and stakeholders to consider when determining which programs, services, and policies best meet the needs, preferences, available resources, and constraints of their constituents.
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